

# Course Evaluation



seit 1558

Summer Semester 2016

Course “War on Terror in Critical Security Studies“  
MA Matthias Schulze

Results summary of the survey from 2016-06-30 (N=22)  
Course Evaluation Questionnaire (english)

Universitätsprojekt Lehrevaluation  
www.ule.uni-jena.de

## Subject Sample Description

| Sex            | N         | %          |
|----------------|-----------|------------|
| female         | 10        | 45         |
| male           | 10        | 45         |
| not applicable | 2         | 9          |
| <b>Total</b>   | <b>22</b> | <b>100</b> |


| Degree course     | N         | %          |
|-------------------|-----------|------------|
| B.A.              | 3         | 14         |
| B.Sc.             | 0         | 0          |
| M.A.              | 16        | 73         |
| M.Sc.             | 0         | 0          |
| teacher training  | 0         | 0          |
| state examination | 0         | 0          |
| other             | 2         | 9          |
| not applicable    | 1         | 5          |
| <b>Total</b>      | <b>22</b> | <b>100</b> |

## Study Effort


|   | Instr. actual | Course |      |      |     |      | Reference |      |
|---|---------------|--------|------|------|-----|------|-----------|------|
|   |               | N      | M    | Md   | Min | Max  | Inst.     | Uni. |
| 1 How many <b>hours</b> do you spend on private study for this course per week?   | -             | 20     | 4.5  | 3.8  | 2.0 | 10.0 | -         | 4.1  |
| 2 What <b>percentage</b> of your total private study time does that correspond to? (with reference to all of your courses during this semester) | -             | 21     | 21.4 | 20.0 | 5.0 | 50.0 | -         | 22.3 |

## General Impression


(1 = strongly disagree ... 5 = strongly agree)




## Input of Instructor



## Input of Participants



## General Conditions and study effort



## Comments

### Please list things which could be improved.

- amount and type of readings (too much, too theoretical) (8)
- materials sometimes too academic/too hard for bachelor and guest students (2)
- Oral participation is absolutely low due to the fact that oral participation is not evaluated at all. (2)
- classification of topics in big context
- clearer language
- double sessions were too long
- earlier feedback to students' participation
- engagement of students

### Please list things you liked in this course.

- qualified, competent, well prepared, professional instructor (6)
- discussions between participants (4)
- broad overview over a great number of topics (3)
- English language (3)
- This course was one of the most interesting that I took this semester. (3)
- link of seminar topics to current global policies (2)
- requires active participation from students (2)
- structure of the course (2)
- variety of methods (2)
- active and dynamic course